



AF ITW

(Substitute) PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

3

Application Number

10/070,349

Filing Date

August 16, 2002

First Named Inventor

Christopher John Farrell

Art Unit

3732

Examiner Name

John J. Wilson

Attorney Docket Number

P1096/20003

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)☐ Fee Transmittal Form (In duplicate)☐ Fee Attached☒ Amendment/Reply☐ After Final☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)☐ Extension of Time Request☐ Express Abandonment Request☐ Information Disclosure Statement☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)☐ Reply to Missing Parts/
Incomplete Application☐ Reply to Missing Parts
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53☐ Drawing(s)☐ Licensing-related Papers☐ Petition☐ Petition to Convert to a
Provisional Application
Power of Attorney, Revocation
Change of Correspondence Address☐ Terminal Disclaimer☐ Request for Refund☐ CD, Number of CD(s) _____☐ Landscape Table on CD☐ After Allowance Communication to TC☐ Appeal Communication to Board
of Appeals and Interferences☐ Appeal Communication to TC
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)☐ Proprietary Information☐ Status Letter☒ Other Enclosure(s) (please identify
below):

Return Postcard

Remarks

Please charge Attorney Account No. 03-0075 as necessary to effect entry and/or
ensure consideration of this submission.**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm Name

Caesar, Rivise, Bernstein, Cohen & Pokotilow, Ltd., Customer No. 03000

Signature

Printed name

Martin L. Faigus

Date

10/29/2004

Reg. No.

24,364

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature

Typed or printed name

Martin L. Faigus

Date

10/29/2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



PATENT

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
PATENT EXAMINING OPERATION

Applicant(s): Christopher John Farrell

Serial No: 10/070,349

Group Art Unit: 3732

Filed: August 16, 2002

Examiner: John J. Wilson

Att. Docket No.: P1096/20003

Confirmation No.: 9999

For: ORAL APPLIANCE

RESPONSE TO FINAL ACTION
UNDER 37 CFR 1.116

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is responsive to the Final Office Action mailed from the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 15, 2004.

In the Final Office Action the Examiner withdrew all of the prior rejections based upon prior art, but continued to maintain a double-patenting rejection based on a judicially created doctrine grounded on public policy.

In applicant's previous Amendment, a Terminal Disclaimer was filed to overcome the double-patenting rejection. However, in the Final Office Action the Examiner stated that the Terminal Disclaimer did not comply with the Rules of Practice because it cannot be signed by an attorney or agent that is not of record. Apparently, Examiner Wilson was under the impression that the undersigned counsel, who also signed the Terminal Disclaimer, was not an attorney of record.

In a telephone conference with Mr. Wilson on October 28, 2004, counsel advised Mr. Wilson that a Power of Attorney was filed on August 16, 2002, appointing the practitioners at Customer No. 03000. Mr. Wilson reviewed his file and confirmed that the Power of Attorney